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Airbus Composite Structures
Perspectives on safe maintenance practice
Preliminary

• Good in service experience validates as well the certification as the design and safe maintenance practice.

• But findings/events may lead to modifications.

• The following presentation is to illustrate through 2 cases the changes that were necessary to introduce within the sandwich structure concepts (Design and Maintenance).
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1. Overview of Composites
Overview of Composites

**A380 Composite applications**

- Carbon fiber reinforced plastic (CRFP)
- Glass fiber reinforced plastic (GFRP)
- Quartz fiber reinforced plastic (QFRP)
- Glass Reinforced Aluminium Laminate (GLARE)

25% of Structure weight
Overview of Composites

A380 Composite applications

Monolithic structures

Upper Frame work

Cross Beam

Center wing box

Tail Cone

Rear Fuselage

Wing Hybrid Rib

Hybrid flap track
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**A380 Composites - Basic applications**

**Sandwich structures**

- Radome
- Pylon Aft Access Panels
- Empennages LE & TE panels
- Floor panels
- Wing TE panels
2. A320 Elevators
A320 Elevators

Content
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A320 Elevators

Structure description

Bonding Straps
A320 Elevators

Structure description

Section through elevator

Trailing edge
A320 Elevators

Example of water ingress

- Upper panel
  - Inspection by thermography

- Affected areas
  - Trailing edge inserts
  - Bonding straps
  - Panel surface
A320 Elevators

Water ingress mechanism

• It is a combination of several parameters

  › Structure: Discontinuity or/and Porosity of the Skin
  › Environment: Heat and Humidity
  › A/C performance: Flight profile

  › All when combined together in a critical way, present for the panel risk of: Water Ingress

• Which Lead in most of the case to:

  › Deterioration on the honeycomb / skin bonding line
  › Delamination
  › Weight increase
A320 Elevators

**Improvements**

- **In production**
  - By adding one adhesive film
  - Systematic Water Leak Test

- **SB A320- 55-1024**
  - 1 time thermography inspection mandatory at 10 Years
  - Re-protection with 1 additional layer of pore filler and new paint
  - All completed in 2002
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Comparison pre and post-mod elevators

- Sampling of 22 elevators in each configuration

- Post-mod / Post-SB elevators are also affected at lower extent
  - Trailing edge inserts
  - Bondings straps
  - Panel surface
A320 Elevators

Further Design Improvements

- Trailing edge inserts
A320 Elevators

**Further Design Improvements**

- Bonding straps replaced by mesh

![Diagram of A320 Elevators showing bonding straps replaced by mesh with labels for Metallic Production Tool Plate, Honeycomb Core, and Outer Skin.]
A320 Elevators

Further Design Improvements

- Bonding straps replaced by mesh
Further Design Improvements

- Panel surface

Origin:
- Porosity from production
- Unrepaired paint or structural damage

Improvement:
- New prepreg used in production with higher resin rate
- Glass honeycomb replaced by Nomex
- Low resin flow control
A320 Elevators

Conclusions

- Significant rate of findings on post-mod / Post SB 55-1024 elevators
- The mod. improved the situation but did not definitely preclude elevator water ingress
- Water cannot be left unrepaired on elevator composite sandwich primary structure
- To date, no future design improvement on sandwich can totally preclude water ingress
- Mandatory repeated thermography inspection is required
3. A300/A310 Rudders
A300/A310 Rudders
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A300/A310 Rudders

*In service experience*

- **Pre Structural failure in flight**
  - Rudders showed satisfactory in-service experience:
    - No disbond issue
      - except AFRP panels declared unfit to fly if not retrofitted
    - No fluid ingress issue
    - No manufacturing issue
    - No repair/maintenance issue

- **Post Structural failure in flight**
  - Findings since implementation of AOTs
    - Most not covered by currently published inspection techniques
    - Upon operators' inspection initiatives (increased awareness versus sandwich inspections)
A300/A310 Rudders

Original design

• In-service damage
  ▶ Large skin-core disbonding
  ▶ Due to the Aramid layer between the core and the carbon fiber skin (poor resin adhesion on Aramid)
  ▶ Production modification 5844 replaced the Aramid with Glass layer

• Current status
  ▶ All affected rudders replaced (80)
A300/A310 Rudders

Pre Mod 8827

Front Spar Attachment

Trailing Edge
• **In-service damage**
  ‣ Skin-core disbonding in the bottom area
  ‣ Due to the attachment of the Front Spar through the honeycomb.

• **Current status**
  ‣ AOT has been launched to inspect any damage and the status of the structure (contamination…) Production modification 8827 has modify the attachment
Pre Mod 8827

• Maintenance tasks

  ‣ Zonal program (MPD section 6)
    – ZL326-01/02-1 (2C): GVI of rudder

  ‣ Structure program (MPD section 7)
    – 554003-01-1 (5Y): DET of rudder front spar forward face and internal structure, as far as visible
    – 554004-01-1 (5Y): SDET of rudder side panels GFRP blocks areas (*non SRM repaired rudders only*)
    – 554005-01-1 (5Y): SDET (tap test) of the rudder side panels

  ‣ Unscheduled tasks
    – Lightning strikes, high lateral loads, hail impact…
A300/A310 Rudders

**Pre Mod 8827**
- Spar attachment principles

![Diagram of Spar attachment principles]

- Pre Mod
  - Side Panel
  - Z Profil
  - GFRP Support Fitting

- Post Mod
  - Side Panel
  - Spar
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Pre Mod 8827

• Rudder event

  ‣ See Flight Safety presentation
Pre Mod 8827 - Post rudder event

- Precautionary inspection AOT#1
  - Precautionary fleet wide inspection of in-service rudders launched post event
    - AOT’s 55A6035 and 55A2035 dated 17-Mar-05
    - About 400 similar P/N rudders (pre mod 8827)
    - Detailed visual inspection plus a tap testing of specific areas
  
- Inspection was based on existing 5Y MPD and AMM tasks
  - Most of findings would have been captured during regular maintenance
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Pre Mod 8827 - Post rudder event

• Precautionary inspection ELCH#1 (Elasticity Laminate Checker)
  ‣ Inspection principle:
    – Principle: vacuum based tool:
      • Check for no stiffness changes
      • Allows checking correct skin bonding condition (inner not directly accessible)
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Pre Mod 8827 - Post rudder event

- Precautionary inspection (ELCH#1)
  - To allow checking for the bonding condition of skin to honeycomb core, 24 rudders have been deeply inspected:
    - Inspection of complete rudder skin panels with 75 mm grid
      - Allows identifying damages greater than 100 cm² either at the inner or at the outer skin
    - Tap test follows to indicate whether or not outer skin is affected
  - All rudders were found to be in good condition
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**Pre Mod 8827 - Post rudder event**

- Precautionary inspection - AOT#2

  - Issued after rudder inner skin disbond finding
  - Limited to pre-mod 8827 rudders
  - AOT Inspection for:
    - correct drainage condition (visual)
    - fluid contamination traces (visual)
    - Inner skin disbond (tap test)

  - Results:
    - No significant finding (few drains blocked and/or fluid stains, no tap test finding)
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Pre Mod 8827 - Post rudder event

- Precautionary inspection (ELCH#2)
  - 2nd campaign in 2006 (5 airlines):
    - Inspection on specific features
      - Complete Panel borders
      - Trailing edge screws
      - Hoist point surround
    - Grid pitch decreased to 50 mm
      - Detects disbond from 70 to 90 mm
    - 12 aircraft inspected / no finding
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Pre Mod 8827 - Post rudder event

- In service-findings since March 05
  - **Disbond** between skin and honeycomb core
    - lower front corner (inner skin)
    - Z-profile area above BR7 (inner skin)
    - skin disbond around hoist point (outer skin)
    - under lightning plate (investigation running)
  - **Fluid ingress** (including Skydrol through blind rivets)
    - Hoisting points (water)
    - Trailing edge screws (water)
    - Leading edge screws (Skydrol & water)
    - Spar (water)
  - **Incorrect repairs**
    - repair not correctly bonded
    - skin abraded up to core during sanding
    - excessive paint built-up => cracking
Conclusions (1/2)

- Until March 2005, rudders showed satisfactory in-service experience (except Aramid early design – unfit to fly)

- Rudder occurrences triggered
  - 2 fleet inspections (AOTs) + 2 sampling inspection (ELCh)
  - A 3rd inspection, repetitive, is coming
  - Increased awareness towards composite sandwich specificity
Conclusions (2/2)

- Recent experience since March 2005 showed unexpected rudder damage types:
  - Disbond (skin to core)
  - Fluid ingress & skydrol contamination
  - Incorrect repairs

- Need to improve the inspection program and the associated NDT technique to cover invisible damages
  - ELCh, ultrasonic, X-Ray …
4. Key messages
Key messages

General

- Airbus continually evaluates the in-service performance of composites in order to evolve aircraft design and maintenance.

- Airbus also cooperates with other manufacturers, suppliers and airlines as part of the Commercial Aircraft Composite Repair Committee (CACRC) to include customer experience in future composite improvements especially sandwich designs.

- Increased awareness towards composite sandwich and monolithic specificities.
Key messages

Monolithic structures

- Wide use of monolithic structures

- More than 65 million flight hours reached with very good in-service behaviour

- The in-service experience of monolithic composite parts has validated as well the designs as the certification approach and the maintenance concept.

- Warning about the use of a given experience for other applications, other designs.
Sandwich structures

- Experience on these structures has revealed some weak points, most notably a lack of water tightness.

- New sandwich designs in used show good in-service behaviour.

- Change in inspection programs are considered.

- In few cases, Sandwich is replaced by Monolithic, for instance about Elevators & Rudders.
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